STATEMENT—(*contd.*).

Sl. No.	Parties to the dispute and date of reference of the case referred	Date of reference to Tribunal
50	Steel Construction Co., Ltd. Labour Union *vs.* Manager, Steel Construction Co., Ltd., Bangalore.	...
51	Workmen and Management of Suedes & Leatherettes Ltd. H.A.L. P.O., Bangalore.	23-11-1956
52	Workmen and Management of Maruthi Talkies, Kudur ...	6-12-1956
53	Workers and Management of Chandiram's Mysore Silk Mills, Bangalore.	27-11-1956
54	Workmen and Management of Jaleel Beedi Factory, Sira ...	15-12-1956
55	Workmen and Management of Kabadi Vittalasa & Sons ..	13-12-1956
56	Workmen and Management of Mysore Spun Silk Mills Ltd., Channapatna.	8-12-1956
57	Workers and Management of M/s. Shivaram Deva Rao Ubhayakar Ltd., Bangalore.	...
58	Mysore Mills and Sri C. Shankaranarayan, Ex-employee ...	...
59	Workmen and Management of Spinker & Co., Brigade Road, Bangalore.	...
60	Workmen and Management of Steel Construction Co., Ltd., Bangalore.	...
61	Workers and Management of Silk Factories in Bangalore ...	...
62	Workers and Management of Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd., Bangalore.	...
63	Workmen and Management of United Engineering Works, Bangalore.	...
64	Mysore Textile Mills Trade Union *vs.* Mysore Spinning and Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Malleswaram, Bangalore.	...
65	Vimanapuram Sanitary Board Workers' Union *vs.* Management of Hindustan Aircraft Sanitary Board, Bangalore.	...
66	Mysore Spun Silk Mills Employees' Union, Channapatna *vs.* Mysore Spun Silk Mills Ltd., Channapatna.	...
67	Workmen and Management of M/s. Webb's Sales and Service Ltd., Bangalore.	...
68	India Tin Industries Ltd. *vs.* India Tin Industries Employees' Union, Bangalore.	...
69	Hindustan Aircraft Employees' Association *vs.* Hindustan Aircraft, Bangalore.	...
70	Mysore Stoneware Pipes & Potteries Ltd., Employees' Union *vs.* Management of Mysore Stoneware Pipes and Potteries Ltd., Chickbanavar.	...
71	Management of *Daily Post* and Mysore State Journalists Association, Bangalore.	...
72	Workmen *vs.* Sri G. P. Krishna Iyengar and Essen & Co., Bangalore.	...
73	Bangalore District Engineering Workers' Union and Management of Neelakanteshwara Engineering Works, Bangalore-3.	...
74	Management of the Sri Krishnarajendra Mills Ltd., Mysore *vs.* its Staff Association.	...

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Will the Government expedite the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act in respect of the employees of the Indian Coffee Board ?

*Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—Government has already expedited the matter and has taken good many decisions. If anything is left out, Government is prepared to take action.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—This is the only clause of the Act in respect of which no decision is taken.

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—This has been brought to my notice now and I shall see that the matter is expedited.

Policy adopted while announcing the Enhancement in the Salaries of N.G.O's.

Q.—153. Sri V. R. NAIDU (Malleswaram).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the policy adopted by them while announcing enhancement in the salaries of N.G.Os. recently ;

(*b*) the advantages accruing to the employees of the State-owned Factories from that announcement ;

(*c*) whether they are aware of the appeal submitted by the employees of the State-owned Factories pointing out that great injustice has been done to them ;

(*d*) what action has been taken on the aforementioned appeal ?

A.—Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) The interim relief is admissible only to employees governed by Mysore Services Regulations.

(*b*) *Vide* reply to (*a*) above.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) *Vide* reply to (*a*) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಎ. ಜಿ. ಓ. ಗಳ ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವರು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿರುವ ನೀತಿಯೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಸಂಬಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕಡಮೆಯಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ತಾವು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ರುವ ಸಂಬಳದಿಂದ ಎ. ಜಿ. ಓ. ಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಎ. ಜಿ. ಓ. ಗಳ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್‌ಗಳಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೇರಿಯಟ್ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್‌ನಿಂದ ಈಗ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸ್ಕೇಲುಗಳು ತೃಪ್ತಿಕರವಾಗಿವೆಯೆಂದು ಕಾಗದಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ ; ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಮಾಡಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನ ನಡೆಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಅನುಕೂಲಪಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ, ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಪಡಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಕೆಲವು ಅನಾಮಲೀಸ್ ಇವೆ, ಅವನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು, ಬಾಕಿಯಾದದ್ದು ತೃಪ್ತಿಕರವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿನಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕೂ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕೂ ಅಜಗಜಾಂತರ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸ್ಕೇಲುಗಳು ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ. ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿನಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸಂಬಳವೇ ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಬೇಕಾದವರು ಹೊಸ ಸ್ಕೇಲನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಗನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹೊಸ ಸ್ಕೇಲನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಪ್ರಾಯಶಃ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ; ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಮಾನವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲು ಆರ್ಗನೈಜ್ಡ್ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ನುಗಳವರು ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಸಹ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಕೆಲವರು ತಮಗೆ ನ್ಯಾಯ ದೊರೆತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಬರೆದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question may be carefully read. From that it would mean that the Hon'ble Member wants to know whether the Government is willing to extend the relief to the employees of the State-owned Factories as well. I allowed a few questions to be asked about the N.G.O's. of the Mysore State. But mainly the question refers to the employees of the State-owned factories. The Hon'ble Member may ask supplementaries in respect of that. It is no use asking questions about the N.G Os. of the State.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮಾಲೀಕತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುವ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಗಳ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಈಗ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿರುವ ಸಂಬಳದ ಅನುಕೂಲ ದೊರೆಯುತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೇ ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯು

ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಆ ಸಮಿತಿ ಸೇರಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸವಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ? ಅದರ ವರದಿ ಯಾವಾಗ ಬರಬಹುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ತಿಂಗಳ ಕಳಗೆ ನೇಮಿಸಲಾಯ್ತು. ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ವರದಿ ಬರ ಬಹುದೆಂಬ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಿದೆ.

Sri. M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Will the Government consider the need of giving interim relief to the employees of the State-owned factories?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಮಿತಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Before the Committee submits the report, will the Government consider giving interim relief to these employees?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No interim relief is called for because. . .

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—My point is, we do not know at what stage the question is pending before the Committee and when they are going to submit the report. Therefore, in the meantime, I want to know whether they are interested in giving interim relief to these employees.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is very difficult to give any opinion because the whole thing is hypothetical. The Committee is considering the whole question.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Am I to understand that the Government is going to give interim relief on the basis of the recommendation of the Mascarenhas Committee?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The interim relief relates to the back period from October to January 1st.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Do the terms of reference relate to giving interim relief or for change of the pay structure of these employees?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The old Mascarenhas Committee has nothing to do with the new Committee. This is a subsequent Committee appointed to go into the question of wages and scales of pay of the employees of the Government Industrial concerns.

12-30 P. M.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, will the Committee tour the State and visit the State-owned factories to ascertain the conditions of payment of wages?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Yes.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the benefit to the employees of these State-owned factories be given from the same date on which such relief has been given to the people governed by the Service Regulations?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It all depends upon the recommendations of the Committee.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—May I know Sir, whether in the original order this interim relief was meant for the industrial labour also?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—It was a fact that they said specifically that industrial labour in the Government factories was also included. Later on it was amended.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, in one clause of the Government Order words were included which could be held to include industrial labour. It was a mistake and it was rectified the very next day, Sir.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Will the Government admit that it is a mistake at least now?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, if the entire Order as a whole was read, it never applied to industrial labour and it will be clear that it applied only to those governed by Service Regulations. But it was possible that one para could be interpreted to mean that way. Therefore, it was rectified the very next day.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that certain employees of the State-owned factories are governed by Service Regulations and are granted interim relief?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Only those who have been lent.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that because certain employees are enjoying the relief and

(SRI S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.)

certain others are deprived there is a lot of discontent ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It is only to set right that anomaly that the Committee has been appointed and that Committee is going to consider the question expeditiously; and let me make it clear that Government for its part, want to settle this question as early as possible.

D.I.Gs. of Police and their confirmation.

Q.—58. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA** (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of D.I.Gs. (Deputy Inspectors-General of Police) in the State ;

(*b*) the dates of confirmation of these D.I.Gs. in order ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that one D.I.G. without confirmation became the Inspector-General of Police about a year back ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that he superseded some of the D.I.Gs. who had been confirmed previously?

A.—**Sri M V. RAMA RAO** (Minister for Home Affairs and Law).—

(*a*) Three.

(*b*) 1. Sri Muthoji Rao Scindia, fironCmed on 6th August 1947.

2. Sri N. V. Babu Reddy, Confirmed on 5th August 1951.

3. Sri B. Thimmaraj Urs, Confirmed on 4th January 1952.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) The post of the Inspector. General of Police being a selection post, the question of supersession does not arise.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The answer is as printed, Sir, except that in regard to clause (*c*) of the question ; the answer as printed is 'NO'. This is an error. It ought to be 'YES'. The error is on the part of the Government.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that you have given this date after receipt of this interpellation ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—No, Sir.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Will the Government be pleased to lay on the Table the entire record relating to his confirmation ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has been promoted without confirmation.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—It would not be proper administrative practice to lay the entire record pertaining to these matters on the Table of the House. But I am willing to furnish whatever information the Hon'ble Member wants.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would like to bring to the notice of the Government that in matters like this, I see the embarassment that the Government have to face. I can tell them that if it is confidential and if it is not in the interest of the public that it should be disclosed, they can say so. Then there will be no embarassment to them and then I will have no power to insist on a reply.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I may make it clear, Sir, that, in this case, there is no question of embarrassment whatever. The Hon'ble Member, as far as I understood him, wanted that the entire record should be placed on the Table of the House. That contains matters which are extraneous to the particular matter that he is pursuing in this question and therefore I said that it would not be correct. At the same time, I feel that whatever information the Hon'ble Member is desirous of having, can be given either by placing it on the Table of the House or by answering it here on the floor of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Possibly, the Hon'ble Minister has not understood me. When one Hon'ble Member desires that papers regarding certain matters should be placed on the Table of the House, the Government can claim exemption, if, in the opinion of the Government, it is not in public interest to do so. I would like to know whether the Government feel that it is not in the public interest. Of course the matter between the

Hon'ble Minister and the Hon'ble Member can be settled in the former's chamber with which I have nothing to do. If it is not in public interest, I will not press the Government to place the papers on the Table of the House. If it is for any other reason, I will have to press the Government to place the papers on the Table.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Actually this relates to the proceedings of the Government in regard to the confirmation of three Deputy Inspectors-General. The Hon'ble Member's point is that one of them had been appointed as Inspector-General without having been confirmed as D.I.G. As I said earlier, the answer to that part of the question is 'YES'—that it is a fact that one D. I. G. was appointed to officiate as Inspector-General without having been confirmed in his post as D.I.G. In regard to that there is absolutely no objection to place the proceedings of Government on the Table of the House or to give any supplementary information that the Hon'ble Member wants.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—You have said in your answer that Sri Thimmaraj Urs was confirmed in January 1952. Was it not communicated to him ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—That is the date on which confirmation takes effect. His confirmation takes effect from 4th January 1952.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it not that the order is subsequent to this or after this ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I do not know what is meant by 'this'

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—You have said that he has been promoted in the year 1952. I want to know whether he is not aware of this confirmation. Is it not the practice in Government that when a promotion is given to an officer, the Government will communicate his confirmation to a certain branch ? Is it not in vogue ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Sir, actually the question relates to the confirmation of Sri Thimmaraj Urs who took charge as Inspector-General on 9th June 1955. The date of confirmation, that is, the date on which the confirmation takes effect, is the 4th January 1952. But the Government Order making that confirmation was actually made on 20th April 1956. That is, the confirmation was with retrospective effect from 4th January 1952.

Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it right in the opinion of the Government to communicate orders like this ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been given retrospective effect.

Nationalisation of Bus Transport in the State.

Q.—255. Sri S. S. KOLKEBAIL (Brahmavar).

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of Districts in the State wherein Bus Transport had been nationalised ;

(*b*) whether there is any proposal before the Government to nationalise the Bus Transport in the whole State to augment the Revenue of the State ; if not why ?

A.—Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Home Affairs).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Bus Transport had been partly nationalised in some of the districts of the State. The policy of the Government is to nationalise Passenger Bus Transport Services by stages in keeping with the policy laid down by the Planning Commission. Phased programme is being prepared. It is also proposed to establish a Road Transport Corporation.

Sri S. S. KOLKEBAIL.—Sir, in clause (*a*) of my question I have asked for "the number of districts in the State wherein bus transport had been nationalised", but the answer to that is not quite clear. Will Government be pleased to state the number of districts in the whole State of Mysore wherein bus transport has been nationalised ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Although the question seeks information in regard to the number of districts wherein road transport has been nationalised, information has been given in a much more informative manner, if I may say so, because it would not have added to the